

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/072,140	40 02/07/2002		R. Guy Lauterbach	112056-0021	1427
24267	7590	11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
		ENNA, LLP	CONTINO, PAUL F		
88 BLACK I BOSTON, N				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2114	
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			~ ^
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	*\bar{\pi}
	10/072,140	LAUTERBACH ET AL.	4
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Contino	2114	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum story period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 February 2004.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-34 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>7 February 2004</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol	ejected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		•).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· —)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2114

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the length must not exceed 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 9 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the compact flash" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 34 recites the limitation "the separate storage medium" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,140 Page 3

Art Unit: 2114

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 5, 7, 9-12, 16, 19, 24-27, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Orr (U.S. Patent No. 6,189,114).

As in claim 1, Orr discloses a file server system (column 4 lines 32-34) comprising:

- a storage operating system adapted to be executed by the processor (column 5 lines 5-9);
- a removable nonvolatile memory device coupled to the system bus, the removable nonvolatile memory device containing diagnostics code for the system (column 5 lines 14-15 and 21-23, where it is possible to remove the memory); and
- a set of boot instructions resident in the filer server system including instructions for executing a normal boot routine upon a power-on of the system (Fig. 2, column 5 lines 17-19), and including instructions enabling the processor to identify the removable nonvolatile memory device and to load the diagnostics code into the memory in response to a command to execute a diagnostics boot routine instead of the normal boot routine (column 5 lines 56-59).

As in claim 5, Orr discloses a storage adapter coupled to the system bus (column 5 lines 2-4); and

at least one storage disk coupled to the storage adapter and containing files served by the operating system (column 5 lines 2-4).

As in claim 7, Orr discloses a motherboard upon which the processor, the memory and the set of boot instructions reside (Fig. 1 #44, column 4 line 66 through column 5 line 1, where system unit 44 may be interpreted as a motherboard).

As in claim 9, Orr discloses said diagnostic code includes code relating to the diagnostics of hardware devices including the processor, the memory, the buses, the adapters, the disks, the compact flash and interfaces thereof (Fig 2, column 5 lines 35-43).

As in claim 10, Orr discloses boot instructions reside in firmware (column 5 lines 14-15).

As in claim 11, Orr discloses a method of performing diagnostics in a filer system comprising the steps of:

providing a removable nonvolatile memory device interfaced with the motherboard, the removable nonvolatile memory device being identifiable to the processor (column 5 lines 14-15 and 21-23, where it is possible to remove the memory);

dividing the removable nonvolatile memory device into separate partitions (Fig. 2, column 5 lines 14-31);

storing a set of diagnostics instructions, being a diagnostics code, in one of the partitions of the removable nonvolatile memory device (column 5 lines 21-24); and

programming the system firmware to recognize a user implemented command for a diagnostics boot such that in response to the diagnostics boot command, the firmware loads the diagnostics code residing in the removable nonvolatile memory device into the memory to execute a diagnostic boot routine instead of a normal boot routine (column 5 lines 56-59).

As in claim 12, Orr discloses maintaining, in a separate partition of the nonvolatile memory, a maintenance log into which test results and data about the storage system are stored (test results: column 7 lines 25-27; data: column 5 line 34).

As in claim 16, Orr discloses a storage system for a computer configured to implement a file system comprising:

means for storing a set of diagnostics instructions comprising diagnostics code, in a removable nonvolatile memory device coupled to the system bus, the removable nonvolatile memory device being identifiable to the system (column 5 lines 14-15 and 21-24, where it is possible to remove the memory); and

means for executing the diagnostics code in response to a diagnostics boot command received by system firmware (column 5 lines 56-59).

As in claim 19, Orr discloses a computer-readable medium comprising: initiating a power-on self test when the computer is powered-on (column 5 lines 18-19);

identifying devices present in the computer (column 5 lines 19-31);

in response to a successful power-on self test, commencing a normal boot routine (column 5 line 56 through column 6 line 5);

recognizing a command for a diagnostics boot (column 5 lines 56-67);

boot for the computer (column 5 line 56 through column 6 line 5).

in response to the diagnostics boot command, probing devices to locate a removable nonvolatile memory device (column 5 lines 14-15 and 21-24, where it is possible to remove the memory) containing diagnostic boot instructions (column 5 line 56 through column 6 line 5); and interrupting the normal boot routine and executing the diagnostics code for a diagnostics

As in claim 24, Orr discloses a removable nonvolatile memory device interconnected with the storage system, wherein the removable nonvolatile memory device (column 5 lines 14-15 and 21-24, where it is possible to remove the memory) containing boot diagnostic code that is loadable into the storage system as an alternative to a normal boot routine (column 5 line 32 through column 6 line 5, where the "storage system" is comprised of system RAM 48 which the diagnostic code may be "loaded" into).

As in claim 25, Orr discloses the removable nonvolatile memory further comprises a plurality of partitions (Fig. 2, column 5 lines 14-31).

As in claim 26, Orr discloses the boot diagnostic code is contained within a first partition of the plurality of partitions (Fig. 2, column 5 lines 14-31).

As in claim 27, Orr discloses the removable nonvolatile memory device further comprises a second partition, the second partition storing a diagnostic log (test results: column 7 lines 25-27; data: column 5 line 34; the test result and data storage are interpreted as a "log").

As in claim 30, Orr discloses a firmware boot routine (Fig. 2, column 5 lines 17-19), the firmware boot routine having a process for selecting between execution of either a normal boot routing or a diagnostic boot routine (column 5 line 56 through column 6 line 5).

As in claim 31, Orr discloses a file system for a computer comprising:

a storage operating system adapted to be executed by the processor (column 5 lines 5-9);

a removable nonvolatile memory device coupled to the system bus, the removable nonvolatile memory device containing diagnostics code for the system (column 5 lines 14-15 and 21-23, where it is possible to remove the memory), the removable nonvolatile memory device also divided into a plurality of partitions with the diagnostics code residing in at least one of the partitions (Fig. 2, column 5 lines 14-31); and

a set of boot instructions resident in the filer server system including instructions for executing a normal boot routine upon a power-on of the system (Fig. 2, column 5 lines 17-19), and including instructions enabling the processor to identify the removable nonvolatile memory device and to load the diagnostics code into the memory in response to a command to execute a diagnostics boot routine instead of the normal boot routine (column 5 lines 56-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/072,140 Page 8

Art Unit: 2114

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-4, 8, 13-15, 17-18, 20-23, 28-29, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Orr in view of Aguilar et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,807).

As in claim 2, Orr teaches the limitations of claim 1 which included nonvolatile memory

being divided into a plurality of partitions. However, Orr fails to teach that the nonvolatile

memory device is a compact flash. Aguilar teaches of a compact flash (column 4 lines 58-67).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was

made to have replaced the ROM component of Orr with the compact flash component of Aguilar

et al. This would have been obvious because Aguilar et al. discloses replacing ROM with

compact flash (column 4 lines 63-65).

As in claim 3, Orr discloses a partition of the nonvolatile memory is designated as a

maintenance log into which test results and data are stored (test results: column 7 lines 25-27;

data: column 5 line 34).

As in claim 4, Orr discloses an input/output device coupled to the system bus, and which input/output device is identifiable by the processor (Fig. 1 #56); and

Aguilar et al. discloses a second bus coupled between the input/output device and the compact flash (Fig. 1 #266) in such a manner that when the processor identifies the input/output device, the compact flash is, in turn, initialized and the diagnostics code is executed upon a command to run a diagnostics boot routine (column 4 lines 58-67).

As in claim 8, Aguilar discloses the removable nonvolatile memory device containing the diagnostics code is resident external to the motherboard, and the diagnostics code on the removable nonvolatile memory device is adapted to be upgraded or amended free of taking the system out of service (Fig. 1 #262, compact flash card external to the motherboard; system 200 is interpreted as not being dependent upon the compact flash card in order to operate, column 3 line 44 through column 4 line 57).

As in claim 13, Orr teaches the limitations of claim 11 which included nonvolatile memory. However, Orr fails to teach that the nonvolatile memory device is a compact flash. Aguilar teaches of a compact flash (column 4 lines 58-67).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the ROM component of Orr with the compact flash component of Aguilar

Art Unit: 2114

et al. This would have been obvious because Aguilar et al discloses replacing ROM with

Page 10

compact flash (column 4 lines 63-65).

As in claim 14, Orr teaches the limitations of claim 11 which included nonvolatile

memory. However, Orr fails to teach that the nonvolatile memory device is a personal computer

(PC) card. Aguilar teaches of a compact flash card (column 4 lines 58-67, which is interpreted

as a type of PC card).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was

made to have replaced the ROM component of Orr with the compact flash component of Aguilar

et al. This would have been obvious because Aguilar et al. discloses replacing ROM with

compact flash (column 4 lines 63-65).

As in claim 15, Orr teaches the limitations of claim 11 which included diagnostics code.

However, Orr fails to teach that the file server would remain operational during an upgrade.

Aguilar et al. teaches of a method to keep the system operational during an upgrade (system 200

is interpreted as not being dependent upon the compact flash card in order to operate, column 3

line 44 through column 4 line 57).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was

made to have replaced the ROM component of Orr with the compact flash component of Aguilar

Art Unit: 2114

et al., allowing continuous file server operation during upgrading. This would have been

Page 11

obvious because Aguilar et al. discloses replacing ROM with compact flash (column 4 lines 63-

65).

* * *

As in claim 17, Orr teaches the limitations of claim 16 which included diagnostics code.

However, Orr fails to teach that the file server would remain operational during an upgrade.

Aguilar et al. teaches of a method to keep the system operational during an upgrade (system 200

is interpreted as not being dependent upon the compact flash card in order to operate, column 3

line 44 through column 4 line 57).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was

made to have replaced the ROM component of Orr with the compact flash component of Aguilar

et al., allowing continuous file server operation during upgrading. This would have been

obvious because Aguilar et al. discloses replacing ROM with compact flash (column 4 lines 63-

65).

As in claim 18, Aguilar et al. discloses a means for upgrading the diagnostics code by

interfacing with the storage system through an associated input/output interface (column 5 lines

7-9, where the means for upgrading is available).

* * *

Art Unit: 2114

As in claim 20, Orr teaches the limitations of claim 19 which included nonvolatile

Page 12

memory containing diagnostics code. However, Orr fails to teach that the nonvolatile memory

device is a compact flash. Aguilar teaches of a compact flash (column 4 lines 58-67).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was

made to have replaced the ROM component of Orr with the compact flash component of Aguilar

et al. This would have been obvious because Aguilar et al discloses replacing ROM with

compact flash (column 4 lines 63-65).

As in claim 21, Orr discloses further instructions to save diagnostics test results and other

data in a predetermined address location in the nonvolatile memory associated with the computer

(test results: column 7 lines 25-27; data: column 5 line 34).

As in claim 22, Orr discloses the diagnostics boot command is initiated by a human

maintenance operator (column 5 lines 59-62).

As in claim 23, Orr discloses the diagnostics boot command is initiated as an instruction

in the computer readable medium upon the occurrence of a predetermined event (column 5 line

56 through column 6 line 5, where the predetermined event may be interpreted as the flag

defined in the CMOS).

* * *

As in claim 28, Orr teaches the limitations of claim 24 which included nonvolatile memory. However, Orr fails to teach that the nonvolatile memory device is a personal computer (PC) card. Aguilar teaches of a compact flash card (column 4 lines 58-67, which is interpreted as a type of PC card).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the ROM component of Orr with the compact flash component of Aguilar et al. This would have been obvious because Aguilar et al. discloses replacing ROM with compact flash (column 4 lines 63-65).

* * *

As in claim 29, Orr teaches the limitations of claim 24 which included nonvolatile memory. However, Orr fails to teach that the nonvolatile memory device is a compact flash. Aguilar teaches of a compact flash (column 4 lines 58-67).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the ROM component of Orr with the compact flash component of Aguilar et al. This would have been obvious because Aguilar et al discloses replacing ROM with compact flash (column 4 lines 63-65).

As in claim 32, Orr discloses one of the partitions is designated as a maintenance log into which test results and data are stored (test results: column 7 lines 25-27; data: column 5 line 34).

As in claim 33, Aguilar et al. discloses a separate storage medium, the separate storage

medium storing a boot routine (column 7 lines 2-5).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

The limitation of using a "write anywhere file layout system" within the scope of the

intervening claims and the overall disclosed invention makes the novelty of the invention

apparent.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul Contino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3657. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am - 5:00 pm, first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3657.

Art Unit: 2114

Page 15

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PFC

November 4, 2004

SCOTT BADERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER